UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/518,639	12/20/2004	Nathalie Feyt	1032326-000288	4953
	7590 05/13/200 INGERSOLL & ROOI	EXAMINER		
POST OFFICE	BOX 1404	SU, SARAH		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2431		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/13/2009	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ADIPFDD@bipc.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/518,639	FEYT ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Sarah Su	2431	

	Sarah Su	2431			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED <u>24 April 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.			
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance	, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this Action no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (a). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejectio	n.		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the slate forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as		
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b  (a) They raise new issues that would require further con  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	sideration and/or search (see NOT v); er form for appeal by materially rec	E below); lucing or simplifying th			
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12  5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  6.  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed.	·				
non-allowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-18. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		be entered and an ex	xplanation of		
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	ll and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a		
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•			
<ul> <li>11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:         <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> <li>12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).</li> </ul>					
13. Other:	1 10/36/00) Fapel 110(5).				
/Ayaz R. Sheikh/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2431	/Sarah Su/ Examiner, Art Unit 2431				

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The examiner has found the applicant's arguments to be non-persuasive, and the examiner maintains the grounds of rejection.

It is argued by the applicant that Futa does not disclose that the pairs of prime numbers are stored independent of knowledge of the pair of values (e,l), in which e is the public exponent and I is the length of the key. The examiner respectfully disagrees.

It is noted that the applicant admits that Futa discloses that two prime numbers are multiplied together to create an integer n. However, Futa discloses that the two primes are generated by a process including acquiring a known prime q and n number of known primes (col. 6, lines 26-28). In this instance, Futa has used n to represent the number of known primes, which is a different n from the modulus n calculated by multiplying two primes. Therefore, since the modulus is calculated from the prime numbers and not vice versa, the calculation of the prime numbers is done without knowledge of the public key, as also previously discussed in the final office action of 24 December 2008.